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ABSTRACT
Generating sales forecast for online retailer the size of Walmart.com is a big and complex task. Our online sales are of massive magnitude every day. Our sales pattern for Holiday season between Thanksgiving and Christmas is very different from the rest of a year. In addition, sales patterns significantly shift between different lines of goods distribution (such as shipping directly to customer’s home vs. shipping to store for customer’s pick-up) as well as between different departments (such as Electronics sales vs. Pharmacy sales). Our daily Statistical Forecast helps the business to with long-term financial planning as well as with evaluating efficiency of particular promotion, which can run just for one day or so.

SAS® Forecast Server provides a great infrastructure to automatically diagnose each of hundreds of Sales time-series at various levels of sales and time hierarchies and find the best time-series model for each of these time-series. However, traditional time-series modeling with SAS Forecast Server assumes specifying just one periodicity value for data. Our data are “highly twice periodic” with “first” periodicity being weekly periodicity of daily lag 7 and “second” periodicity being “yearly” periodicity of varying lag of 365-366 days.

In addition, Thanksgiving Holiday seasons for adjacent years are separated by uneven number of days, and many departments have sales that are subject to multiplicative seasonality for holidays and additive seasonality for the rest of the year thus making it hard for any classical time-series model to forecast well for both season and off-season periods.

In this paper we go through data decomposition / re-assembly steps which address mentioned challenges, significantly improve SAS® Forecast Server performance on our data and allowing us to achieve daily site-total forecasting accuracies in upper 90s

INTRODUCTION
Generating accurate sales forecasts for major on-line retailer the size of Walmart.com is not a straightforward task. Sales patterns significantly differ between different Distribution Channels such as “Shipping to Customer’s Home”, “Picking Up from Walmart store” and others. Also patterns differ between different departments. For example, departments such as “Electronics” show complex sales patterns with additive growth through most of the year except “Holiday Season” that starts from late October and ends with Christmas sales. “Holiday Season” daily sales of “Electronics”-like departments may exceed by order the regular daily sales for the rest of the year. In addition, behavior of just “Holiday” promotion-driven part of sales is more likely to show multiplicative growth year over year. In contrast to “Electronics”, “Pharmacy” sales pattern is much less sensitive to “Holiday Season” part of the year, as, naturally, our demand for drugs/medicine is much less sensitive to holidays and keeps stable behavior pattern throughout whole year.

In addition, catching sales patterns at daily level of granularity has it’s own challenges. Online sales patterns have both, weekly and yearly seasonality, which make it difficult to describe them with classical time-series approaches such as Exponential Smoothing or ARIMA(X) which assume just single seasonality lag.
Finally, big sales days such as Black Friday and Cyber Monday and sales patterns, which surround these days are not evenly separated in time from year to year which adds additional challenge to consider properly for good forecasting solution.

Using SAS® Forecast Server (SAS® FS) APIs such as proc HPFDiagnose and HPFEngine [2] helps to address at scale many challenges related to diversity of sales patterns among different Distribution Channels, Departments, Categories, Sub-Categories, etc. Forecast Server’s primary advantage over its peers is the ability to specify time-series model search-space and search performance criteria for a given data segment in contrast to the need of specifying particular model (with even automatically found model parameters). However, challenges related to mixed additive and multiplicative seasonality patterns, simultaneous weekly and yearly periodicities still remained our opportunities to address.

In this paper we describe data pre/post processing steps that help Forecast Server to significantly increase it’s performance on walmart.com sales data, while keeping the solution simple and scalable. In particular, we show how “Off Holiday season” sales can be normalized with respect to day of a week to reduce seasonality to remain calendar year-based only.

Also, we demonstrate our approach of data decomposition into “regular baseline sales” series and “holiday” promo sales which allow SAS® Forecast Server’s model search algorithm to converge to additive seasonal model for “regular” sales and multiplicative seasonal model for “holiday” sales. We also show how to “center” holiday sales data around Black Friday / Cyber Monday big sales days, so that holiday sales weeks of adjacent years are nicely separated by same (multiple of seven) number of days before we feed “Holiday” data into Forecast Server’s search engine. After we use SAS® Forecast Server to generate predictions for both “Holiday” and “baseline” parts of data, we show how to apply weekly profile back to “baseline” forecast and reassemble final forecast by merging “baseline” and “holiday” outputs together.

Overall, our data decomposition/reassembly approach generated stable forecasts, which increased both daily and monthly MAPE forecast accuracies by couple of percentage points reaching upper 90%s for monthly Lag 1 accuracies throughout 2016-2018 years.

**BACKGROUND**

Our goal was to design scalable, configurable, accurate and simple sales forecast solution for our Finance team. The requirement was to provide weekly updates for forecast in daily and monthly time-buckets. Forecast had to be provided at several aggregation levels of sales hierarchy including “Grand Total” Level, “Distribution Chanel” level (which separated, for example, items shipped to customer’s home vs items picked up from store vs items sold on our Marketplace platform by third-party Merchants), and other lower levels including Super Department, Department, Category and Sub Category. Forecast Monthly Lag 1 accuracy and Daily Lag 1 – Lag 7 accuracies were diligently tracked and compared against “reference” financial plan in the frames of Forecast Value Add [1] Methodology. (Here, “Lag n” Forecast is defined as the forecast for the nth time-period in a forecasting horizon.)

Overall, our forecasting hierarchy includes couple of hundreds of time-series/forecasts with very diverse data patterns. In addition, behavior of our sales for big subset of Departments/Distribution channels significantly differs during so called “Holiday Season” with respect to the rest of the year. We define as “Holiday” the time-period, which usually starts late October and ends with Christmas holiday.

We follow classical forecasting system architecture / processing steps that include acquiring initial dataset of transactions, segmenting them into parts controlled by the same aggregation bucket and same SAS forecast Server’s treatment, aggregate transactions, do
aggregated data pre-processing, generate forecasts for each aggregated segment, apply data post-processing, perform forecast reconciliation / aggregation to reporting level and then pass it to downstream system. This work is dedicated to describing our data pre and post processing methodologies.

Figure 1. Diagram of Forecast Process Architecture. This work describes Pre- and Post- processing steps to ensure better accuracy of SAS Forecast Server

Before we go to our pre and post processing challenges, let us first state that actual forecasting part of our solution is built on SAS® Forecast Server APIs (primarily procs HPFENgine and HPFDiagnose [2]). In our opinion, unique advantage of using SAS® Forecast Server is it’s ability to quickly automatically converge for each given time-series to best-suited model family and then to a particular model.

Reference open source solutions would rather require a particular model (such as ARIMA with given p,d and q or specific model from ESM family) to be defined for each given forecasting segment.

In contrast, with SAS Forecast server, we define for each segment rather group of family models (such as for example all ARIMA models with some upper restrictions on p,d and q parameters and whole ESM family), enable/disable automated attempt to apply EXP, LOG or BOX-COX transformation, configure model search space with restrictions imposed by our data segment-specific knowledge, configure conditions of the competition (such as portion of holdout data, error measure to minimize, etc.) and let SAS® Forecast Server to do the rest.

For each given time-series from a data segment, SAS® Forecast Server examines the data, converges first to the best family model and then to the best model with optimized parameters.

Thus usage of SAS® Forecast Server’s intelligent model search engine helped to make our data segments more generic while reducing their number and still addressing diversity of our sales data patterns.

However, we still had to address number of opportunities with enabling Forecast Server to perform even better.
First, Forecast Server configuration allows specifying just one parameter of seasonality. However, our need to be accurate in daily buckets dictated a need to operate with daily time-series, which were highly "double-periodic": natural year-based seasonality (daily lag 365-366) with big sale spikes within months of November-December and also weekly seasonality (daily lag 7). We figured out, for example, that for some high-volume segments our customers do significantly less shopping on Saturdays while doing more on Mondays. Not treating either of these periodicities adequately has immediate impact in daily forecast accuracy.

Second, our sales growth pattern during “Holiday Season” for many segments is a composite of additive growth of baseline sales, which happens coherently with sales growth for the rest of the year and promotional sales, which are more subject to multiplicative growth. Thus there is an imminent need to model “regular” component of sales time-series with additive models (such as, for example, Winters Additive Seasonal Smoothing, where trend is modeled as an additive factor) and model “promotional” component with multiplicative models where year over year trend is modeled rather as a multiplicative factor. In addition, impact of sales price discounts has to be modeled differently during “Holiday” and “Off-Holiday” periods, as sales are much more sensitive to price changes during “Holiday” times.

In next sections we will talk about how we address “double-periodicity” opportunity of our data and also about how we do decomposition and reassembly of data into “regular” and “promotional” components to achieve better prediction accuracy.

“NORMALIZING” DAYS OF A WEEK

In this section we talk about our methodology to pre-process “off-holiday” period sales which “re-shuffles” sales volume between days within the same week while “removing” weekly seasonality feature from data. At the same time we store this “weekly” seasonality aside as “day-of-a-week” profile which we apply back to “off-holiday” daily forecast once it is generated.

Figure 3 shows regular daily sales pattern of one of our large sales segments during regular “off-holiday” months of 2015. One can clearly see highly-revealed weekly periodicity (blue line) with minimal sales occurring on Saturdays.

One naïve periodicity-removal approach can be as simple as splitting each sales time-series into seven isolated time sequences: one for for all Mondays, second for all Tuesdays, etc. This approach, however, would decrease amount of data for subsequent time-series model fitting by a factor of seven thus not allowing to consider more complex time-series models for future modeling and making whole seven sub-sequences more vulnerable to over-fitting.

Another considered approach is to use SAS® Forecast Server’s option to specify exogenous variables and code-in days of a week with dummy variables. However, in current implementation of Forecast Server, consideration of exogenous variables via SAS® Forecast Server configuration option would essentially limit scope of family models to only ARIMA(X), or at least would put ARIMA(X) family in much preferable position when competing with other family models for accuracy.

When selecting our approach we were primarily motivated by accuracy win and also by principle of statistical simplicity that suggests choosing the simplest approach among similarly performing ones.

Finally, we converged to the following straightforward solution to reduce day of a week driven seasonality, while trying to do our best for not over-fitting the data.
First, we conduct statistical test to ensure if we have enough data-driven evidence that particular day of a week has sales significantly higher/lower from it’s peers.

We consider last 30 weeks of regular sales, while computing for iteration 1 the mean and standard deviation of a mean of all Monday sales, Tuesday sales, etc. Thus we obtain seven mean numbers and seven standard deviations of a mean (of seven respective days of a week). For each day of a week we also obtain “reference” average sales volume across all other days of a week together with it’s standard deviation.

Then we test each of seven day-of-a-week sample mean daily sales for having evidence to be different from their respective “reference” values. In other words, our null hypothesis H₀ here is assumption that sales falling on tested day of a week are equal to 1/7th sales volume of a week. Note, that we make sure that we exclude all weeks with special sales, such as, for example, Easter week, from our data sample of recent 30 weeks.

We assume normality for our day-of-a-week specific sample means and use t-test to see of we have enough evidence to reject H₀ with significance level 0.05. Out of all day-of-a-week candidates with p-values smaller than 0.05 we select day-of-a-week with the lowest p-value.

Then, for the next iteration we put sales of a “winner” day-of-a-week aside. Now we have six days of a week data remaining with null hypothesis H₀ now being that each of remaining six days of a week carry 1/6th of volume of these six days combined.

We continue with next iterations until we either select all days of a week or unless at certain point there are no more “winners” (i.e. we are not able anymore to reject H₀ at 0.05 significance level).

As a next step we record “day-of-a-week profile” which consists out of seven proportion values. Proportion for each selected day (with obtained evidence of “behaving” different from it’s peer days) will be total sales amount for that day over last 30 weeks divided by overall 30-week sales amount. All remaining days of a week that did not get enough evidence to reject H₀ will get equal proportion. Figure 2 shows example of day of a week profile with Saturday, Friday and Thursday only showing significant sales deviation pattern from the rest of days.

The last step normalizes daily history by reshuffling some of daily volume between days of the same week guided by day-of-a-week profile proportions. Variability of daily history thus gets significantly reduced, while weekly seasonality gets separated out of data and essentially stored in day-of-a-week profile. Figure 3 shows original weekly-seasonal series.

Figure 2. Example of day of a week profile with Thursday, Friday and Saturday only showing significant distinction in sales compared to other days of a week
shown as blue line, while adjusted by day-of-a-week profile series is shown as red dots. One can clearly see reduced data variability for “red dot” series with respect to the one shown by blue line.

**Figure 3. Original daily sales with weekly periodicity (blue line) and adjusted series (red dots) showing significantly reduced variability**

Adjusted time-series then is ready to be passed to SAS® Forecast Server APIs with just one yearly periodicity specified. Once “off holiday” forecast is generated for adjusted series, we need to put weekly seasonal pattern back in. We do it by applying “in-reverse” our day-of-a-week profile to the forecast. Both, transformations of the history and then forecast are of the similar nature. The only difference between history and forecast transformation is as follows: for history transformation we move volume from days of a week with consistently bigger sales to those with consistently smaller sales thus “flattening” daily history. And for the forecast we put weekly “curls” back in by moving forecast volume from days with consistently lower sales to days with consistently higher sales.

Let us note that transformations of both weekly-seasonal history and then forecast happen without moving, increasing or decreasing of weekly volume of either history or forecast. It is all about just re-shuffling weekly sales volume between days of a week, while first “removing” weekly periodicity from data, recording it in day-of-a-week profile and then reapplying it back to the forecast by reshuffling forecast volume between days of the same week.

**“REGULAR”/“PROMO” COMPONENTS DECOMPOSITION & FORECAST**

In this section we show our work on decomposition of walmart.com time-series data into “regular” or “off-season” and “holiday season” parts to enable Forecast Server to converge to the best model for each of components. Then we show how to re-assemble component forecasts back to the final sales forecast.

Overall, we address the challenge of different behavior pattern of our regular baseline sales and our holiday promotional sales. Moreover, for many of our segments, our “holiday sales” (starting from end of October and ending with Christmas sales) can be viewed as superposition of “every day” sales and promotional part highly sensitive to price changes & marketing campaigns.
We emphasize that following the principle of statistical simplicity, we bring in external variables such as initial markup unit (IMU%) or marketing dollars only if event does not repeat or repeats in very different ways year over year and thus cannot be handled by seasonal time-series model. Decomposing sales time-series into promo and non-promo parts brings an important advantage of considering different set of exogenous variables for each of the components.

First step in decomposition is to initialize “baseline” time-series as time-series with weekly-adjusted values as defined in previous section and missing values for “holiday” periods of all years considered in the sales history (See Fig 4., lower left component). It is important to ensure that each year has defined “holiday” season consisting out of multiples of seven days and each year’s “holiday” period has the same number of days prior to and past Thanksgiving day.

Second step is to feed “baseline” series with missing values to SAS® Forecast Server and have Forecast Server to generate not only forecast to future time horizon, but also do ex-post “baseline” forecast into “Holiday” periods initialized as missing. Thus, for baseline forecast falling into “holiday” periods we are likely to get “spike-free” “placeholders”. At later steps, on top of these “placeholders” we add promotional forecast generated separately.

Third step is to take “holiday”-period related portion of original time-series (without performing any day-of-a-week periodicity removal) and subtract from each sales value it’s
respective baseline prediction obtained at Second step. Thus we get promotional-driven component only.

Fourth step is to “align” promotion data-periods for different years next to each other while introducing artificial time-scale. If, for example, “holiday” period consists out of 63 daily observations (keep in mind that we want our “artificial” promo year to remain multiple of seven), and we have three years in our history then “promo” time-series will have 189 data points. Within new time-scale last day of previous year promo period will be directly followed by the first day of “promo” period of next year (see Fig 5).

Figure 5. Converting of promotional time-series into “artificial” time-scale where Thanksgiving spikes are evenly spaced.

If all previous steps are done right then each Thanksgiving day and all big sales days that surround Thanksgiving for a given year will be separated from their previous year’s peers by same, multiple of seven number of days.

Fifth step is to feed “holiday” data into SAS® Forecast Server’s search engine. We will need to specify right seasonality lag parameter (length of “holiday” period). Note, that under given setup SAS® Forecast Server is positioned to perform better: Our “holiday” periods are aligned to each either, are designed to have exact number of days separating one year from the following year. Plus each period is designed to contain number of days as multiple of seven which allows to better capture weekly fluctuations without application of day-of-a-week adjustments to “holiday” period data.

At Sixth step we diligently map future “holiday” period promo forecast days from artificial time-scale to their original calendar time-scale. Then we add promo forecast values to their respective baseline “placeholder” forecast values obtained at Second step.

Finally, we re-apply day-of-a-week fluctuations for “off-holiday” period to “adjusted” “off-holiday” forecast as described in previous section.

RESULTS & CONCLUSION

We successfully reduced (in data) and re-instated (in forecast) day-of-a-week based periodicity and applied our data decomposition and reassembly technique to walmart.com big segments to achieve better performance with SAS® Forecast Server driven Sales forecast throughout years 2016-2018 (inclusive).

Our decomposition technique allows SAS Forecast Server’s search engine to converge to additive seasonal model for “baseline” part of sales and to multiplicative seasonal model for
“promotional” part. Also decomposition brings flexibility to consider different set of exogenous variables for “Promotional” part while leaving “baseline” part as simple as possible which helped to avoid over-fitting and eventually achieve better performance results.

Our MAPE Site total daily error got reduced from 10% to 5% as a direct consequence of application of techniques described in this work. Monthly site total Lag 1 MAPE error was reduced to 2%.
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