How to Prevent the Best and Most-experienced Employee Turnover with SAS EM™
Attrition is a common issue every company faces. Many companies have investment in their employees and as such, are interested in their employee satisfaction and why some employees leave a company. In fact, companies often incorporate surveys as part of their annual review process.

A dataset was simulated by user ludoben (on the Kaggle website) from variables that any normal human resources department would have on their employees.

Our task was to predict which employees may leave from the ten available features. We found that the most at-risk employees for leaving were found in the most extreme regions of each feature.

The dataset contained 14999 observations and 10 variables: satisfaction Level, last evaluation, number of project, average monthly hours, time spend company, work accident, promotion last five years, sales(department), salary, left. Left is the target variable, with “1” indicating the employee left.

The goal was to run several different model approaches, compare the results, and select the model that gave the most accurate prediction on training data.

First, we checked for missing values, which there were none. Had there been missing data, we'd have to impute them as a lot of the prediction models employed require no missing data.

Then we performed data exploration, which is necessary to understand the features.

- Often, patterns are obvious when examining distributions or scatterplots.
- Collinearity should always be examined, modeling correlated variables will often give non-meaningful results.

The data was split into two parts, 75% for training, 25% for validation.

We examined the following models:

- A single Decision Tree,
- A Random Forest (of decision trees),
- A Logistic Regression Model,
- And a Support Vector Machine learning (SVM).

There does not appear to be any problematic correlation in the continuous variables for any level of salary (low, medium, high). Shown below is the correlation for the “low” level of salary. We took some help from base SAS Studio to create this matrix.

Table 1: Correlation of numeric variables for Salary=”Low”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation Matrix</th>
<th>Salary=Low</th>
<th>Salary=Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(years)</td>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>Last Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.0523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Evaluation</td>
<td>0.0523</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion Last 5 Years</td>
<td>0.1677</td>
<td>0.0742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Spend Company</td>
<td>0.1687</td>
<td>0.0746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>0.0404</td>
<td>0.0577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>0.0429</td>
<td>0.0582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary=Low</td>
<td>0.0404</td>
<td>0.0577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary=Low</td>
<td>0.0429</td>
<td>0.0582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary=Low</td>
<td>0.0404</td>
<td>0.0577</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**DATA EXPLORATION**

Graph 2: Distribution of each variable

Key:
- Employee Leaves: shaded with diagonal lines.
- Employee Stays: non-shaded, no lines

**CONCLUSION**

- The data exploration node gives the most insight in the areas to focus for improving employee retention:
  - Employees generally left when they were underworked and overworked.
  - Employees generally left when they had too few or too many projects.
  - Employees have higher leaving risk after working in the company for 4-5 years.
  - Employees with either really high or low evaluations should be taken into consideration for high turnover rate.

- The top performing model for prediction on “future employees” (aka the validation data) was the random forest model.

- Top 3 important variables: satisfaction level, number project, time spend company.

- An application of this model might be to create risk scores for employees for company use.

The four models were evaluated based on their accuracy and their ROC curves. The model with the highest accuracy on the validation data was the Random Forest model, which also showed to have the ideal characteristic of not sacrificing false negatives for accuracy.

The tradeoff between false positives and false negatives is situational. In our case, companies may be more concerned with false negatives (predicting an employee will not leave when in fact they will). A false positive is perhaps a less burdensome situation: a company may identify an individual as having a high risk of leaving when they will not, and as a result they simply put more investment or time into that individual.

Table 2: Accuracy, False Positive Rate and False Negative Rate for the four models.

Table 3: Important Features

• The data exploration node gives the most insight in the areas to focus for improving employee retention:
  - Employees generally left when they were underworked and overworked.
  - Employees generally left when they had too few or too many projects.
  - Employees have higher leaving risk after working in the company for 4-5 years.
  - Employees with either really high or low evaluations should be taken into consideration for high turnover rate.

• The top performing model for prediction on “future employees” (aka the validation data) was the random forest model.

• Top 3 important variables: satisfaction level, number project, time spend company.

• An application of this model might be to create risk scores for employees for company use.
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